

CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction: Integration policies and migrants' labour market outcomes: a local perspective based on different regional configurations in the EU

David De Coninck^{1†} and Giacomo Solano^{2*†}

[†]David De Coninck and Giacomo Solano have contributed equally to this article.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-023-00347-y>.

*Correspondence: giacomo.solano@ru.nl

¹Centre for Sociological Research, KU Leuven, Parkstraat 45, Box 3601, 3000 Louvain, Belgium

²Radboud University Network on Migrant Inclusion (RUNOMI), Department of Economics and Business Economics, Nijmegen School of Management, Radboud University, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Correction: Comparative Migration Studies (2023) 11:23.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40878-023-00347-y>

The original publication of this article contained several typographical errors and incorrect headings in table 3. These errors have been amended, the incorrect and correct version of table 3 are shown in this correction article. The original article has been updated.

Incorrect table 3

Degree of urbanisation	n	%	T-test (degree of freedom)
Share of urban regions	53	12	-9.524 (279)***
Share of intermediate regions	20	11	1.925 (279)*
Share of rural regions	27	77	7.964 (279)***

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$

Correct table 3

Degree of urbanisation	Cluster 1 (n = 157)	Cluster 2 (n = 123)	T-test (degree of freedom)
Share of urban regions	53	12	-9.524 (279)***
Share of intermediate regions	20	11	1.925 (279)*
Share of rural regions	27	77	7.964 (279)***

* $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$

Published online: 03 October 2023

Reference

De Coninck, D., & Solano, G. (2023). *Comparative Migration Studies*, 11, 23.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.